

CONFIDENTIAL

Tech
slur

SECURITY EQUIPMENT BRANCH
FUNCTIONS AND ACTIVITIES

25X1A I. FUNCTION

25X1A

Provide an intrusion alarm program for [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] foreign installations.

ACTIVITY

A. Test and evaluate alarm equipment to ensure that the Agency has state-of-the-art security alarm systems.

B. Develop through ORD and OTS both improved and Agency peculiar equipments.

C. Install and maintain intrusion alarm equipment worldwide.

II. FUNCTION

Develop hardware, specifications and policy regarding safekeeping equipments including safes, locks, vault doors, vaults and secure areas.

ACTIVITY

A. Test and evaluate safekeeping equipment.

B. Participate in government sponsored tests of security construction.

25X1A C. Develop special security devices such as [REDACTED] detectors.

D. Develop techniques for inspecting physical security equipment for evidence [REDACTED]. 25X1A

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1A

E. Modify physical security equipment to prevent
[REDACTED]

III. FUNCTION

Develop hardware and Agency policy regarding classified material destruction devices.

ACTIVITY

A. Test and evaluate commercially available destruction equipment.

B. Through the manufacturer, modify equipment to Agency needs.

C. Participate in government sponsored development programs.

IV. FUNCTION

Maintain continuing liaison with security personnel of other government agencies as well as representatives of commercial ~~industry~~ ^{firms} engaged in the production of security equipment.

ACTIVITY

A. Act as the Agency's representative to the Interagency Advisory Committee on Security Equipment which is primarily engaged in the development of specifications for physical security equipment.

B. Periodically visit the manufacturing facilities of the major producers of security equipment to consult on new developments.

CONFIDENTIAL

Office of Security component responsible
for testing and evaluating alarm equipment
to ensure that the Agency has state-of-the-art
security alarm systems.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 1

COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security
Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A



Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? 25X1A
AGENCY ELEMENTS *OVERSEAS*

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

25X1A

Component responsible for developing improved and Agency peculiar alarm equipment.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 2

COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

(2) dated Nov 1966
(13) dated Oct 1971

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

AGENCY ELEMENTS ([REDACTED]) OVERSEAS

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

The Office of Security component responsible for installing and maintaining intrusion alarm equipment worldwide.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 3 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security

Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

25X1A

AGENCY ELEMENTS  OVERSEAS

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION FOR RELEASE 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020024-3
Component responsible for testing and evaluating safekeeping equipment.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 4 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

25X1A

AGENCY ELEMENTS

OVERSEAS

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ~~Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020024-3~~ The Office of Security component responsible for participating in government sponsored tests of security construction.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 5 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

AGENCY ELEMENTS  OVERSEAS 25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

The Office of Security component responsible for developing special security devices.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 6

COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security

EVALUATION:

Division/SEB

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

[REDACTED]

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☐ No ☒

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

AGENCY ELEMENTS ([REDACTED] OVERSEAS)

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
 Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
 Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
 If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
 If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
 If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 7

COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security
Division/SEB


EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

AGENCY ELEMENTS () OVERSEAS

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF Release 2000/09/01

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 8 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security
Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

25X1A

DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

AGENCY ELEMENTS

OVERSEAS

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020024-3
 the Office of Security Component responsible
 for testing and evaluating commercially
 available classified material destruction
 equipment.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 9 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security
 Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
 Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? 25X1A

AGENCY ELEMENTS [REDACTED] OVERSEAS

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
 Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
 Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
 If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred
 to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
 If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?
 More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of
 Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without
 significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
 If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
 important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

The Office of Security Component responsible for working with the manufacturer to have commercially produced classified material destruction equipment modified to meet Agency needs.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 10 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

AGENCY ELEMENTS

OVERSEAS

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

The Office of Security Component responsible for participating in government sponsored programs for the development of classified material destruction devices.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 11 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

[REDACTED]

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

AGENCY ELEMENTS

[REDACTED]

OVERSEAS

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Component responsible for acting as the Agency's representative to the Interagency Advisory Committee on Security Equipment which is primarily engaged in the development of specifications for physical security equipment.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 12

COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/SEB

EVALUATION:DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐
Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

AGENCY ELEMENTS

OVERSEAS

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, where and why?Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒
If so, how?Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF RELEASE 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020024-3
Component responsible for visiting manufacturing facilities of the major producers of security equipment to consult on new development.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 13 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/SEB

EVALUATION:

DATE:

25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

[REDACTED]

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ☒ No ☐

Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

AGENCY ELEMENTS [REDACTED] OVERSEAS)

25X1A

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐

Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes ☒ No ☐

Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes ☐ No ☒

If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ☐ No ☒

If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ☐ No ☒ If so, what?

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

25X1A

FROM:

EXTENSION

NO.

Policy and Plans Group

x5311

DATE

10 March 1975

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1. Chief, Security Equipment
Branch

B

2. AC/TSD

H

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Under Objective B57104 the Office of Security will review and validate all Office of Security functions, activities...to ensure effectiveness, economy and efficiency. The attached documents represent two milestones under that objective. Milestone 1, the components identified their functions and activities. Milestone 2 was establishment of a format for evaluating these...activities. It is requested that Security Equipment Branch review the attachments and:

a) Certify that there are no deletions/corrections/additions to be made to the previous listing submitted by your office.

b) Review the Identification of Activity statement on each evaluation sheet to ensure it fully equals to the comparable activity from your offices listing.

c) Use the attached format to evaluate each individual activity.